

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 06.0175.3.mo	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2006/002169	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 09 March 2006 (09.03.2006)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 01 September 2005 (01.09.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant INNOVATIONEN ZUR VERBRENNUNGSTECHNIK GMBH			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		Date of issuance of this report 08 April 2008 (08.04.2008) Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis e-mail: pt06.pct@wipo.int
---	--	---

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

TRANSLATION
PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
Applicant's or agent's file reference 06.0175.3.mo		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2006/002169	International filing date (day/month/year) 09.03.2006	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.09.2005	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A47K7/02 A47K3/022			
Applicant INNOVATIONEN ZUR VERBRENNUNGSTECHNIK GMBH			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/002169

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
 - ☐ the translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 - ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - ☐ on paper
 - ☐ in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - ☐ contained in the international application as filed
 - ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form
 - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/002169

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-17	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-17	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-17	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 5 293 660 A (PARK ET AL) 15 March 1994
(1994-03-15)
D2: US 6 740 052 B1 (REGNER ROGER) 25 May 2004
(2004-05-25)
D3: US 2005/097692 A1 (VAN DER HOVEN CLIFTON A)
12 May 2005 (2005-05-12)
D4: US 2002/138930 A1 (WHEELER TODD ET AL)
3 October 2002 (2002-10-03)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

Document D1 discloses (figures 1-7 and associated description; the references between parentheses relate to said document) a washing device designed for at least partially cleaning and/or for treating and/or for disinfecting a human foot, having at least one plate-like base body (18) and at least one cleaning body (28) connected to the base body (18),

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/002169

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

the cleaning body (28) being designed for cleaning and/or for treating and/or for disinfecting at least parts of the human foot, and the base body being arranged on the floor.

Documents D2-D4, see the corresponding passages and figures cited in the search report, likewise disclose all the features of claim 1.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-17

Claims 2-17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)), see documents D1-D4 and the corresponding passages and figures cited in the search report.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

TRANSLATION
PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference 06.0175.3.mo	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
--	---

International application No. PCT/EP2006/002169	International filing date (day/month/year) 09.03.2006	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.09.2005
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A47K7/02 A47K3/022
--

Applicant INNOVATIONEN ZUR VERBRENNUNGSTECHNIK GMBH

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/002169

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
 - ☐ the translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 - ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - ☐ on paper
 - ☐ in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - ☐ contained in the international application as filed
 - ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form
 - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/002169

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-17	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-17	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-17	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
<p>1 Reference is made to the following documents:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">D1: US 5 293 660 A (PARK ET AL) 15 March 1994 (1994-03-15)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">D2: US 6 740 052 B1 (REGNER ROGER) 25 May 2004 (2004-05-25)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">D3: US 2005/097692 A1 (VAN DER HOVEN CLIFTON A) 12 May 2005 (2005-05-12)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">D4: US 2002/138930 A1 (WHEELER TODD ET AL) 3 October 2002 (2002-10-03)</p>			
<p>2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Document D1 discloses (figures 1-7 and associated description; the references between parentheses relate to said document) a washing device designed for at least partially cleaning and/or for treating and/or for disinfecting a human foot, having at least one plate-like base body (18) and at least one cleaning body (28) connected to the base body (18),</p>			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/002169

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

the cleaning body (28) being designed for cleaning and/or for treating and/or for disinfecting at least parts of the human foot, and the base body being arranged on the floor.

Documents D2-D4, see the corresponding passages and figures cited in the search report, likewise disclose all the features of claim 1.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-17

Claims 2-17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)), see documents D1-D4 and the corresponding passages and figures cited in the search report.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 06.0175.3.mo	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2006/002169	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 09 March 2006 (09.03.2006)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 01 September 2005 (01.09.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant INNOVATIONEN ZUR VERBRENNUNGSTECHNIK GMBH			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).																								
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.																								
3.	<p>This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 30%;">Box No. I</td> <td style="width: 60%;">Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																							
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).																								

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Date of issuance of this report 04 March 2008 (04.03.2008)
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Authorized officer <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Agnes Wittmann-Regis</div> e-mail: pt06.pct@wipo.int

VERTRAG ÜBER DIE INTERNATIONALE ZUSAMMENARBEIT AUF DEM GEBIET DES PATENTWESENS

Absender: INTERNATIONALE RECHERCHENBEHÖRDE

An:

siehe Formular PCT/ISA/220

PCT

SCHRIFTLICHER BESCHIED DER INTERNATIONALEN RECHERCHENBEHÖRDE (Regel 43bis.1 PCT)

Absendedatum
(Tag/Monat/Jahr)
210 (Blatt 2)

siehe Formular PCT/ISA

Aktenzeichen des Anmelders oder Anwalts
siehe Formular PCT/ISA/220

WEITERES VORGEHEN
siehe Punkt 2 unten

Internationales Aktenzeichen
PCT/EP2006/002169

Internationales Anmeldedatum (Tag/Monat/Jahr)
09.03.2006

Prioritätsdatum (Tag/Monat/Jahr)
01.09.2005

Internationale Patentklassifikation (IPC) oder nationale Klassifikation und IPC
INV. A47K7/02 A47K3/022

Anmelder
INNOVATIONEN ZUR VERBRENNUNGSTECHNIK GMBH

1. Dieser Bescheid enthält Angaben zu folgenden Punkten:

- ☒ Feld Nr. I Grundlage des Bescheids
- ☐ Feld Nr. II Priorität
- ☐ Feld Nr. III Keine Erstellung eines Gutachtens über Neuheit, erfinderische Tätigkeit und gewerbliche Anwendbarkeit
- ☐ Feld Nr. IV Mangelnde Einheitlichkeit der Erfindung
- ☒ Feld Nr. V Begründete Feststellung nach Regel 43b/s.1 a) I) hinsichtlich der Neuheit, der erfinderischen Tätigkeit und der gewerblichen Anwendbarkeit; Unterlagen und Erklärungen zur Stützung dieser Feststellung
- ☐ Feld Nr. VI Bestimmte angeführte Unterlagen
- ☐ Feld Nr. VII Bestimmte Mängel der internationalen Anmeldung
- ☐ Feld Nr. VIII Bestimmte Bemerkungen zur internationalen Anmeldung

2. WEITERES VORGEHEN

Wird ein Antrag auf internationale vorläufige Prüfung gestellt, so gilt dieser Bescheid als schriftlicher Bescheid der mit der internationalen vorläufigen Prüfung beauftragten Behörde ("IPEA"); dies trifft nicht zu, wenn der Anmelder eine andere Behörde als diese als IPEA wählt und die gewählte IPEA dem Internationale Büro nach Regel 66.1 b/s b) mitgeteilt hat, dass schriftliche Bescheide dieser Internationalen Recherchenbehörde nicht anerkannt werden.

Wenn dieser Bescheid wie oben vorgesehen als schriftlicher Bescheid der IPEA gilt, so ist der Anmelder aufgefordert, bei der IPEA vor Ablauf von 3 Monaten ab dem Tag, an dem das Formblatt PCT/ISA/220 abgesandt wurde oder vor Ablauf von 22 Monaten ab dem Prioritätsdatum, je nachdem, welche Frist später abläuft, eine schriftliche Stellungnahme und, wo dies angebracht ist, Änderungen einzureichen.

Weitere Optionen siehe Formblatt PCT/ISA/220.

3. Nähere Einzelheiten siehe die Anmerkungen zu Formblatt PCT/ISA/220.

Name und Postanschrift der
Internationalen Recherchenbehörde



Europäisches Patentamt - P.B. 5818 Patent
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Datum der Fertigstellung
dieses Bescheids

siehe Formular
PCT/ISA/210

Bevollmächtigter Bediensteter

Oelschläger, H

Tel. +31 70 340-1968



Feld Nr. I Grundlage des Bescheids

1. Hinsichtlich der **Sprache** beruht der Bescheid auf
 - ☒ der internationalen Anmeldung in der Sprache, in der sie eingereicht wurde
 - ☐ einer Übersetzung der internationalen Anmeldung in die folgende Sprache , bei der es sich um die Sprache der Übersetzung handelt, die für die Zwecke der internationalen Recherche eingereicht worden ist (Regeln 12.3 a) und 23.1 b)).
2. Hinsichtlich der **Nucleotid- und/oder Aminosäuresequenz**, die in der internationalen Anmeldung offenbart wurde und für die beanspruchte Erfindung erforderlich ist, ist der Bescheid auf folgender Grundlage erstellt worden:
 - a. Art des Materials
 - ☐ Sequenzprotokoll
 - ☐ Tabelle(n) zum Sequenzprotokoll
 - b. Form des Materials
 - ☐ in Papierform
 - ☐ in elektronischer Form
 - c. Zeitpunkt der Einreichung
 - ☐ in der eingereichten internationalen Anmeldung enthalten
 - ☐ zusammen mit der internationalen Anmeldung in elektronischer Form eingereicht
 - ☐ bei der Behörde nachträglich für die Zwecke der Recherche eingereicht
3. ☐ Wurden mehr als eine Version oder Kopie eines Sequenzprotokolls und/oder einer dazugehörigen Tabelle eingereicht, so sind zusätzlich die erforderlichen Erklärungen, dass die Information in den nachgereichten oder zusätzlichen Kopien mit der Information in der Anmeldung in der eingereichten Fassung übereinstimmt bzw. nicht über sie hinausgeht, vorgelegt worden.
4. **Zusätzliche Bemerkungen:**

**SCHRIFTLICHER BESCHEID DER
INTERNATIONALEN RECHERCHEBEHÖRDE**

Internationales Aktenzeichen
PCT/EP2006/002169

**Feld Nr. V Begründete Feststellung nach Regel 43b/s.1 a) i) hinsichtlich der Neuheit, der
erfinderischen Tätigkeit und der gewerblichen Anwendbarkeit; Unterlagen und Erklärungen zur
Stützung dieser Feststellung**

1. Feststellung

Neuheit	Ja: Ansprüche Nein: Ansprüche 1-17
Erfinderische Tätigkeit	Ja: Ansprüche Nein: Ansprüche 1-17
Gewerbliche Anwendbarkeit	Ja: Ansprüche: 1-17 Nein: Ansprüche:

2. Unterlagen und Erklärungen:

siehe Beiblatt

Zu Punkt V.

- 1 Es wird auf die folgenden Dokumente verwiesen:

D1 : US 5 293 660 A (PARK ET AL) 15. März 1994 (1994-03-15)

D2 : US 6 740 052 B1 (REGNER ROGER) 25. Mai 2004 (2004-05-25)

D3 : US 2005/097692 A1 (VAN DER HOVEN CLIFTON A) 12. Mai 2005 (2005-05-12)

D4 : US 2002/138930 A1 (WHEELER TODD ET AL) 3. Oktober 2002 (2002-10-03)

- 2 UNABHÄNGIGER ANSPRUCH 1

Die vorliegende Anmeldung erfüllt nicht die Erfordernisse des Artikels 33(1) PCT, weil der Gegenstand des Anspruchs 1 im Sinne von Artikel 33(2) PCT nicht neu ist.

Dokument D1 offenbart (Abb. 1-7, und dazugehörige Beschreibung; die Verweise in Klammern beziehen sich auf dieses Dokument) eine Waschvorrichtung ausgebildet zur zumindest teilweisen Reinigung und/oder zur Pflege und/oder zur Desinfektion eines menschlichen Fußes, mit wenigstens einem plattenförmigen Grundkörper (18) und wenigstens einem mit dem Grundkörper (18) verbundenen Reinigungskörper (28), wobei der Reinigungskörper (28) zur Reinigung und/oder zur Pflege und/oder zur Desinfektion von zumindest Teilen des menschlichen Fußes ausgebildet ist und wobei der Grundkörper auf dem Boden angeordnet ist.

Dokumente D2-D4, siehe die entsprechenden im Recherchenbericht angegebenen Abbildungen und Textstellen, offenbaren ebenfalls alle Merkmale des Anspruchs 1.

- 3 ABHÄNGIGE ANSPRÜCHE 2-17

Die Ansprüche 2-17 enthalten keine Merkmale, die in Kombination mit den Merkmalen irgendeines Anspruchs, auf den sie sich beziehen, die Erfordernisse des PCT in Bezug auf Neuheit Artikel 33(2) PCT bzw. erfinderische Tätigkeit Artikel 33(3) PCT erfüllen, siehe die Dokumente D1-D4 und die entsprechenden im Recherchenbericht angegebenen Abbildungen und Textstellen.